

Revisiting the Lucas Model

B. Skritek^{1,2}, J. Crespo Cuaresma^{1,3,4,5}, A. V. Kryazhimskii¹, K. Prettnner²,
A. Prskawetz^{1,2,4}, and E. Rovenskaya¹

¹ International Institute for Applied Systems Analysis

² Vienna University of Technology

³ Vienna University of Economics and Business

⁴ Wittgenstein Center for Demographic and Global Human Capital (IIASA,VID/OEAW,WU)

⁵ Austrian Institute of Economic Research (WIFO)

13 May 2015,
13th Viennese Workshop on Optimal Control and Dynamic Games

Introduction

seminal paper by Robert E. Lucas (1988)

On the Mechanics of Economic Growth, Journal of Monetary economics

- maximize discounted life time utility
- control variables: consumption, time allocation between education and production
- state variables: physical capital and human capital

Result: **unique solution along a balanced growth path** given

- perfect foresight
- perfect competition in labour and capital markets
- general equilibrium setting

aim of our paper:

analysis of Lucas model in **partial equilibrium setting**

allows two alternative approaches:

- 1 two-stage optimization framework of Lucas model
 - first stage: maximize lifetime income by choosing division of time between human capital accumulation and production
 - second stage: distribute consumption optimally over lifetime given lifetime income
- 2 one-state optimization framework of Lucas model
 - one state: fraction of human to physical capital

main result:

in a partial equilibrium setting infinitely many optimal solutions exist for time allocation between work and education

The original Lucas Model

$$\max_{c(\cdot), u(\cdot)} J = \int_0^{\infty} e^{-\rho t} \frac{c(t)^{1-\theta} - 1}{1-\theta} dt, \quad (1)$$

subject to

$$\dot{k}(t) = r(t)k(t) + w(t)h(t)u(t) - c(t), \quad k(0) = k_0 > 0, \quad (2)$$

$$\dot{h}(t) = h(t)\chi(1 - u(t)), \quad h(0) = h_0 > 0, \quad (3)$$

$$u(t) \in [0, 1], \quad c(t) \geq 0, \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} e^{-\int_0^t r(s) ds} k(t) \geq 0, \quad (4)$$

$K(t) = N(t)k(t)$ aggregate physical capital

$L(t) = N(t)h(t)u(t)$ aggregate effective labour

assume stationary population $N(t) = 1 \forall t$

$$Y(t) = AK(t)^\alpha L(t)^{1-\alpha}.$$

$$w(t) = \frac{\partial Y(t)}{\partial L(t)} = A(1 - \alpha) \left(\frac{K(t)}{L(t)} \right)^\alpha,$$

$$R(t) = \frac{\partial Y(t)}{\partial K(t)} = A\alpha \left(\frac{L(t)}{K(t)} \right)^{1-\alpha}.$$

The model considered is a simplification of the original Lucas model:
There is no human capital externality and no population growth

Two-stage optimal control model

partial equilibrium setting: exogenous wage and interest rate

denote $r(t, 0) := \int_0^t r(s) ds$

EDUCATION PROBLEM (Problem-U)

$$\max_{u(\cdot)} J_U = \max_{u(\cdot)} \int_0^{\infty} e^{-r(t,0)} w(t) u(t) h(t) dt, \quad (5)$$

subject to

$$\dot{h}(t) = h(t)\chi(1 - u(t)), \quad h(0) = h_0. \quad (6)$$

CONSUMPTION PROBLEM (Problem-C)

$$\max_{c(\cdot)} J_C = \max_{c(\cdot)} \int_0^{\infty} e^{-\rho t} \frac{c(t)^{1-\theta} - 1}{1-\theta} dt, \quad (7)$$

subject to

$$\dot{x}(t) = r(t)x(t) - c(t), \quad x(0) = x_0 + \int_0^{\infty} e^{-r(t,0)} w(t)u(t)h(t) dt, \quad (8)$$

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} e^{-r(t,0)} x(t) \geq 0. \quad (9)$$

Assumption (A0): The positive-valued function $w(\cdot)$ is such that for any admissible control $u(\cdot)$ and its corresponding trajectory $h(\cdot)$

$$\int_0^{\infty} e^{-r(t,0)} w(t) h(t) u(t) dt < \infty.$$

Proposition

Let (A0) hold. Then the original Lucas problem (1)–(4) is equivalent to the combination of Problem-U and Problem-C.

Proposition

An optimal solution to Problem-C is given by (see, e.g., Acemoglu, 2009)

$$c^*(t) = c_0^* e^{\frac{r(t,0) - \rho t}{\theta}}, \quad c_0^* = \frac{x(0)}{\int_0^{\infty} e^{-r(t,0)} e^{\frac{r(t,0) - \rho t}{\theta}} dt}. \quad (10)$$

Proposition

Assume (A0) holds. Let $u^*(\cdot)$ be an optimal control in Problem-U and $h^*(\cdot)$ be the trajectory corresponding to it. Then according to Assev and Veliov (2012, 2014) there exists an adjoint variable $\xi(\cdot)$ defined as

$$\xi(t) = \int_t^\infty e^{-r(s,0)} w(s) u^*(s) e^{-\chi \int_\tau^s (1-u(\tau)) d\tau} ds; \quad (11)$$

that satisfies almost everywhere the adjoint equation

$$-\dot{\xi}(t) = \chi(1 - u^*(t))\xi(t) + e^{-r(t,0)} w(t) u^*(t). \quad (12)$$

The optimal control $u^*(\cdot)$ maximizes the Hamiltonian

$$\mathcal{H}(h, u, \xi, t) = e^{-r(t,0)} w(t) h u + \chi \xi h (1 - u)$$

evaluated along the optimal path $h = h^*(t)$, $\xi = \xi(t)$ among all admissible $u \in [0, 1]$ for almost all $t \in [0, \infty)$. As a consequence,

$$u^*(t) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } e^{-r(t,0)} w(t) - \chi \xi(t) > 0, \\ 0, & \text{if } e^{-r(t,0)} w(t) - \chi \xi(t) < 0, \\ \text{singular,} & \text{if } e^{-r(t,0)} w(t) - \chi \xi(t) = 0. \end{cases} \quad (13)$$

Reducing the State Dimension

partial equilibrium setting: exogenous wage and interest rate

assuming $k(t) > 0$ for all $t \geq 0$, consumption per person can be written as a function of consumption per unit of physical capital $c_f(t) \geq 0$ with $c(t) = c_f(t)k(t)$.

Rewriting the objective function in terms of $c_f(\cdot)$ and substituting in the dynamics of k from equation (2), we obtain the equivalent problem

$$\max_{c_f(\cdot), u(\cdot)} J = \max_{c_f(\cdot), u(\cdot)} \int_0^{\infty} e^{-\rho t} \left(\ln c_f(t) + \frac{1}{\rho} (w(t)u(t)f(t) - c_f(t)) \right) dt, \quad (14)$$

subject to the dynamics of human capital per unit of physical capital $f(t) = h(t)/k(t)$:

$$\dot{f}(t) = f(t)(\chi(1 - u(t)) + c_f(t) - r(t)) - f(t)^2 w(t)u(t), \quad f(0) = \frac{h_0}{k_0} > 0. \quad (15)$$

Theorem

Along a balanced growth path condition

$$\frac{\dot{w}(t)}{w(t)} = r(t) - \chi \quad \text{for all } t \geq 0 \quad (16)$$

is necessary for a singular control to exist over the entire time horizon $[0, \infty)$ in problem (1) – (4).

Remark

In case of constant $r(t) \equiv r > 0$ and $w(t) \equiv w > 0$ the condition of the above theorem becomes

$$r = \chi \quad \text{for all } t \geq 0. \quad (17)$$

Theorem

If condition (17) holds, all controls $u(\cdot)$ such that

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^t u(s) ds \rightarrow \infty \quad (18)$$

are optimal. In particular, all singular controls satisfying (18) are optimal.

General Equilibrium

endogenous wages and interest rates

e.g. Cobb Douglas production function implies the wage rate:

$$w(t) = A(1 - \alpha) \left(\frac{k(t)}{u(t)h(t)} \right)^\alpha.$$

The first order condition of Education-Problem becomes:

$$e^{-r(t,0)} h(t)^{1-\alpha} A(1 - \alpha)^2 k(t)^\alpha u(t)^{-\alpha} = \xi(t)\chi.$$

This equation has a unique solution given by

$$u^*(t) = \begin{cases} \left(\frac{\xi(t)\chi e^{r(t,0)}}{h(t)^{1-\alpha} A(1-\alpha)^2 k(t)^\alpha} \right)^{\frac{-1}{\alpha}} & \text{if } \left(\frac{\xi(t)\chi e^{r(t,0)}}{h(t)^{1-\alpha} A(1-\alpha)^2 k(t)^\alpha} \right)^{\frac{-1}{\alpha}} \leq 1, \\ 1 & \text{if } \left(\frac{\xi(t)\chi e^{r(t,0)}}{h(t)^{1-\alpha} A(1-\alpha)^2 k(t)^\alpha} \right)^{\frac{-1}{\alpha}} > 1. \end{cases}$$

Nonlinear Human Capital Production

dynamics of Lucas-Uzawa model for h :

$$\dot{h}(t) = h(t)G(1 - u(t)),$$

assume nonlinear function $G(\cdot)$

$$G(x) = \chi x^\nu \quad \text{with} \quad \nu < 1$$

The first order condition of the Education-Problem becomes:

$$e^{-r(t,0)}w(t)h(t) - \xi(t)\nu\chi h(t)[1 - u(t)]^{\nu-1} = 0.$$

Then the boundary solution $u(t) = 1$ will never be optimal because $e^{-r(t,0)}w(t)h(t)$ is strictly positive for all t . The assumption $\nu < 1$ implies that $u^*(t)$ is uniquely determined by

$$u^*(t) = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } 1 - \left(\frac{e^{-r(t,0)}w(t)h(t)}{\xi(t)\nu\chi h(t)}\right)^{\frac{1}{\nu-1}} < 0 \\ 1 - \left(\frac{e^{-r(t,0)}w(t)h(t)}{\xi(t)\nu\chi h(t)}\right)^{\frac{1}{\nu-1}}, & \text{if } 1 - \left(\frac{e^{-r(t,0)}w(t)h(t)}{\xi(t)\nu\chi h(t)}\right)^{\frac{1}{\nu-1}} \geq 0. \end{cases}$$

- we have reformulated the Lucas model in a partial equilibrium setting
- for the partial equilibrium setting we indicate alternative solution methods
 - ① two stage optimization
 - ② one state optimization
- in the partial equilibrium setting multiple optimal solutions may exist switching between education and work may be optimal in such a setting
- to select a unique optimal solution we provide two mechanisms:
 - ① general equilibrium setting
 - ② nonlinear human capital accumulation